# Zero-One Laws for Hypercyclicity

Kit Chan

Bowling Green State University

March, 2014

Let  $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} | f \text{ analytic}\} = \text{the set of all entire functions } f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}.$ 

Let  $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} | f \text{ analytic}\} = \text{the set of all entire functions } f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ .

Convergence: " $f_n \to f$  in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ " means " $f_n \to f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ ."

Let  $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} | f \text{ analytic}\} = \text{the set of all entire functions } f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ .

Convergence: " $f_n \to f$  in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ " means " $f_n \to f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ ."

• Birkhoff (1929): There is a function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $\{f(z), f(z+1), f(z+2), f(z+3) \ldots\}$  is dense in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} | f \text{ analytic}\} = \text{the set of all entire functions } f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ .

Convergence: " $f_n \to f$  in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ " means " $f_n \to f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ ."

- Birkhoff (1929): There is a function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $\{f(z), f(z+1), f(z+2), f(z+3) \ldots\}$  is dense in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ .
- G. R. MacLane (1952): There is a function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $\{f(z), f'(z), f''(z), f'''(z) \ldots\}$  is dense in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $H(\mathbb{C}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} | f \text{ analytic}\} = \text{the set of all entire functions } f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ .

Convergence: " $f_n \to f$  in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ " means " $f_n \to f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ ."

- Birkhoff (1929): There is a function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $\{f(z), f(z+1), f(z+2), f(z+3) \ldots\}$  is dense in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ .
- G. R. MacLane (1952): There is a function  $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$  so that  $\{f(z), f'(z), f''(z), f'''(z) \ldots\}$  is dense in  $H(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $p \ge 1$ , and  $B: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be the unilateral backward shift defined by  $B(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots) = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots)$ .

• Rolewicz (1969): If  $t \in (1, \infty)$ , then there exists a vector x in  $\ell^p$  so that  $\{x, (tB)x, (tB)^2x, (tB)^3x, \ldots\}$  is dense in  $\ell^p$ .

## Hypercyclicity Criterion

Let X be a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $B(X) = \{T : X \to X | T \text{ is bounded and linear} \}$ .

### Hypercyclicity Criterion

Let X be a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $B(X) = \{T : X \to X | T \text{ is bounded and linear} \}$ .

Definition. A bounded linear operator T in B(X) is <u>hypercyclic</u> if there is a vector x whose orbit orb $(T,x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \ldots\}$  is dense in X. Such a vector x is called a <u>hypercyclic vector</u>.

## Hypercyclicity Criterion

Let X be a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $B(X) = \{T : X \to X | T \text{ is bounded and linear}\}.$ 

Definition. A bounded linear operator T in B(X) is <u>hypercyclic</u> if there is a vector x whose orbit  $orb(T,x) = \{x, Tx, \overline{T^2x}, \overline{T^3x}, \ldots\}$  is dense in X. Such a vector x is called a <u>hypercyclic vector</u>.

• Kitai (1982), Gethner and Shapiro (1987):  $T: X \to X$  is hypercyclic if there is a dense set D of X and T has a right inverse S so that  $T^n x \to 0$  and  $S^n x \to 0$  for each vector  $x \in D$ .

 $\overline{\operatorname{orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{x,Tx,T^2x,T^3x,\ldots\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subset containing } x.$ 

 $\overline{\operatorname{orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{x,Tx,T^2x,T^3x,\ldots\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subset containing } x.$ 

 $\overline{\text{span orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{p(T)x : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subspace containing } x.$ 

 $\overline{\operatorname{orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{x,Tx,T^2x,T^3x,\ldots\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subset containing } x.$ 

 $\overline{\text{span orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{p(T)x : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subspace containing } x.$ 

Invariant Subspace Problem (1920s, still open today): Must every bounded linear operator  $T: H \to H$  on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H have a nontrivial invariant subspace?

 $\overline{\operatorname{orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{x,Tx,T^2x,T^3x,\ldots\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subset containing } x.$ 

 $\overline{\text{span orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{p(T)x : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subspace containing } x.$ 

Invariant Subspace Problem (1920s, still open today): Must every bounded linear operator  $T: H \to H$  on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H have a nontrivial invariant subspace?

• Enflo (1987): Not if the space is  $\ell^1$ . That is, there is an operator  $\mathcal T$  on  $\ell^1$  for which every nonzero vector x has the property that  $\overline{\operatorname{span}}$   $\operatorname{orb}(\mathcal T,x)=\ell^1$ .

 $\overline{\operatorname{orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{x,Tx,T^2x,T^3x,\ldots\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subset containing } x.$ 

 $\overline{\text{span orb}(T,x)} = \overline{\{p(T)x : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}} = \text{the smallest closed invariant subspace containing } x.$ 

Invariant Subspace Problem (1920s, still open today): Must every bounded linear operator  $T: H \to H$  on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H have a nontrivial invariant subspace?

- Enflo (1987): Not if the space is  $\ell^1$ . That is, there is an operator  $\mathcal T$  on  $\ell^1$  for which every nonzero vector x has the property that  $\overline{\operatorname{span}}$   $\operatorname{orb}(\mathcal T,x)=\ell^1$ .
- Read (1989): There is an operator T on  $\ell^1$  with no nontrivial closed invariant subset. That is, every nonzero vector x has the property that  $\overline{\text{orb}(T,x)} = \ell^1$ .

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The adjoint  $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  has an eigenvalue  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $T^*y = \alpha y$ .

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The adjoint  $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  has an eigenvalue  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $T^*y = \alpha y$ . Then for any vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$< T^{n}x, y > = < x, T^{*n}y > = < x, \alpha^{n}y > = \bar{\alpha}^{n} < x, y >,$$

which cannot be dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$ 

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The adjoint  $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  has an eigenvalue  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $T^*y = \alpha y$ . Then for any vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$< T^{n}x, y > = < x, T^{*n}y > = < x, \alpha^{n}y > = \bar{\alpha}^{n} < x, y >,$$

which cannot be dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$ 

Back to the case when X is infinite dimensional.

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The adjoint  $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  has an eigenvalue  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $T^*y = \alpha y$ . Then for any vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$< T^{n}x, y > = < x, T^{*n}y > = < x, \alpha^{n}y > = \bar{\alpha}^{n} < x, y >,$$

which cannot be dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$ 

Back to the case when X is infinite dimensional.

If  $F: X \to X$  has finite rank (that is, dim ran  $F < \infty$ ), then I + F is not hypercyclic.

If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The adjoint  $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  has an eigenvalue  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $T^*y = \alpha y$ . Then for any vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$< T^{n}x, y > = < x, T^{*n}y > = < x, \alpha^{n}y > = \bar{\alpha}^{n} < x, y >,$$

which cannot be dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$ 

Back to the case when X is infinite dimensional.

If  $F: X \to X$  has finite rank (that is, dim ran  $F < \infty$ ), then I + F is not hypercyclic.

If  $T: X \to X$  is compact, then T is not hypercyclic.



If X is finite dimensional, no operator T on X is hypercyclic.

Proof: Take  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The adjoint  $T^* : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  has an eigenvalue  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $T^*y = \alpha y$ . Then for any vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$< T^{n}x, y > = < x, T^{*n}y > = < x, \alpha^{n}y > = \bar{\alpha}^{n} < x, y >,$$

which cannot be dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$ 

Back to the case when X is infinite dimensional.

If  $F: X \to X$  has finite rank (that is, dim ran  $F < \infty$ ), then I + F is not hypercyclic.

If  $T: X \to X$  is compact, then T is not hypercyclic.

If X is a Hilbert space, no normal operator is hypercyclic.



Suppose  $\{x_j : j \ge 1\}$  is a countable dense subset of X, and x is a vector in X. For x to be a hypercyclic vector, the following must hold:

For all  $x_j$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a integer n such that  $||T^nx - x_j|| < \epsilon$ ;

Suppose  $\{x_j : j \ge 1\}$  is a countable dense subset of X, and x is a vector in X. For x to be a hypercyclic vector, the following must hold:

For all  $x_j$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a integer n such that  $\|T^nx - x_j\| < \epsilon$ ;

Equivalently,  $x \in T^{-n}B(x_j, \epsilon)$ .

Suppose  $\{x_j : j \ge 1\}$  is a countable dense subset of X, and x is a vector in X. For x to be a hypercyclic vector, the following must hold:

For all  $x_j$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a integer n such that  $\|T^nx - x_j\| < \epsilon$ ;

Equivalently,  $x \in T^{-n}B(x_j, \epsilon)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{HC}(T) = \{x \in X | x \text{ is a hypercyclic vector for } T\}.$ 

Suppose  $\{x_j : j \ge 1\}$  is a countable dense subset of X, and x is a vector in X. For x to be a hypercyclic vector, the following must hold:

For all  $x_j$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a integer n such that  $\|T^nx - x_j\| < \epsilon$ ;

Equivalently,  $x \in T^{-n}B(x_j, \epsilon)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{HC}(T) = \{x \in X | x \text{ is a hypercyclic vector for } T\}.$ 

Taking  $\epsilon = 1/k$  in the above, we have

$$\mathcal{HC}(T) = \bigcap_{i,k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n} B\left(x_j, \frac{1}{k}\right).$$



### A Basic Zero-One Law for Hypercyclic Vectors

• Kitai (1982): For any operator T in B(X), either  $\mathcal{HC}(T)$  is either  $\phi$  or a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

## A Basic Zero-One Law for Hypercyclic Vectors

• Kitai (1982): For any operator T in B(X), either  $\mathcal{HC}(T)$  is either  $\phi$  or a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

Baire Category Theorem  $\implies$ 

If  $\{T_n: X \to X | n \ge 1\}$  is a countable collection of hypercyclic operators, then their set of *common hypercyclic vectors* 

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{HC}(T_n)$$

is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

### A Basic Zero-One Law for Hypercyclic Vectors

• Kitai (1982): For any operator T in B(X), either  $\mathcal{HC}(T)$  is either  $\phi$  or a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

Baire Category Theorem  $\implies$ 

If  $\{T_n: X \to X | n \ge 1\}$  is a countable collection of hypercyclic operators, then their set of *common hypercyclic vectors* 

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{HC}(T_n)$$

is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

• Salas (1999): If B is the unilateral backward shift, is the set of common hypercyclic vectors

$$\bigcap_{t>1} \mathcal{HC}(tB) \neq \phi?$$



## Existence of a $G_{\delta}$ Set of Common Hypercyclic Vectors

• Abakumov & Gordon (2003):  $\bigcap_{1 < t} \mathcal{HC}(tB) = \text{the set of}$  common hypercyclic vectors for tB is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

## Existence of a $G_{\delta}$ Set of Common Hypercyclic Vectors

- Abakumov & Gordon (2003):  $\bigcap_{1 < t} \mathcal{HC}(tB) = \text{the set of}$  common hypercyclic vectors for tB is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.
- Costakis & Sambarino (2004): Reproved the above result with a simpler proof by introducing a sufficient condition for common hypercyclicity, and showed other applications.

## Existence of a $G_{\delta}$ Set of Common Hypercyclic Vectors

- Abakumov & Gordon (2003):  $\bigcap_{1 < t} \mathcal{HC}(tB) = \text{the set of}$  common hypercyclic vectors for tB is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.
- Costakis & Sambarino (2004): Reproved the above result with a simpler proof by introducing a sufficient condition for common hypercyclicity, and showed other applications.
- with Sanders (2009): Reproved the same result with a simpler proof by introducing an easier sufficient condition for common hypercyclicity that generalizes the Hypercyclicity Criterion for a path of operators.

If I is an interval, and  $F: I \to B(X)$  is said to be a path of operators if F is a continuous map with respect to the norm topology of B(X) and the usual topology of I.



### Unilateral Weighted Backward Shifts on $\ell^p$

 $T:\ell^p \to \ell^p$  is said to be a <u>unilateral weighted backward shift</u> if there is a bounded positive weight sequence  $\{w_j: j \geq 1\}$  such that

$$T(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots) = (w_1 a_1, w_2 a_2, w_3 a_3, \ldots).$$

### Unilateral Weighted Backward Shifts on $\ell^p$

 $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  is said to be a <u>unilateral weighted backward shift</u> if there is a bounded positive weight sequence  $\{w_j: j \geq 1\}$  such that

$$T(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots) = (w_1 a_1, w_2 a_2, w_3 a_3, \ldots).$$

• Salas (1995): A unilateral weighted backward shift T is hypercyclic if and only if  $\sup\{w_1w_2\cdots w_n:n\geq 1\}=\infty$ .

### Unilateral Weighted Backward Shifts on $\ell^p$

 $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  is said to be a <u>unilateral weighted backward shift</u> if there is a bounded positive weight sequence  $\{w_j: j \geq 1\}$  such that

$$T(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots) = (w_1 a_1, w_2 a_2, w_3 a_3, \ldots).$$

- Salas (1995): A unilateral weighted backward shift T is hypercyclic if and only if  $\sup\{w_1w_2\cdots w_n:n\geq 1\}=\infty$ .
- Grosse-Erdmann (2000): Generalizations to Fréchet spaces.



#### Bilateral Weighted Shifts on $\ell^p$

 $T:\ell^p \to \ell^p$  is a <u>bilateral weighted backward shift</u> if there is a bounded positive weight sequence  $\{w_j: j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  such that

$$T(\ldots, a_{-1}, \overbrace{a_0}^{\text{zeroth}}, a_1, \ldots) = (\ldots, w_{-1}a_{-1}, w_0a_0, \overbrace{w_1a_1}^{\text{zeroth}}, w_2a_2, \ldots).$$

## Bilateral Weighted Shifts on $\ell^p$

 $T:\ell^p \to \ell^p$  is a <u>bilateral weighted backward shift</u> if there is a bounded positive weight sequence  $\{w_j: j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  such that

$$T(\ldots, a_{-1}, \overbrace{a_0}^{\text{zeroth}}, a_1, \ldots) = (\ldots, w_{-1}a_{-1}, w_0a_0, \overbrace{w_1a_1}^{\text{zeroth}}, w_2a_2, \ldots).$$

• Salas (1995): A bilateral weighted shift T is hypercyclic if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an arbitrarily large n such that whenever  $|k| \leq q$ ,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n w_{k+j} \ > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} w_{k-j} < \epsilon.$$

• with Sanders (2009): Between any two hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts, there is a path of such operators with a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set of common hypercyclic vectors. Also, there is a path of such operators with no common hypercyclic vectors.

- with Sanders (2009): Between any two hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts, there is a path of such operators with a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set of common hypercyclic vectors. Also, there is a path of such operators with no common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts form a path-connected subset in the operator algebra.

- with Sanders (2009): Between any two hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts, there is a path of such operators with a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set of common hypercyclic vectors. Also, there is a path of such operators with no common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts form a path-connected subset in the operator algebra.
- The same holds true for bilateral weighted shifts.

- with Sanders (2009): Between any two hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts, there is a path of such operators with a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set of common hypercyclic vectors. Also, there is a path of such operators with no common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic unilateral weighted backward shifts form a path-connected subset in the operator algebra.
- The same holds true for bilateral weighted shifts.

Natural Question: Can we have "a lot" of operators in a path and yet their common hypercyclic vectors still form a dense  $G_{\delta}$  subset? What do we mean by "a lot"?



# Existence of Hypercyclic Operators

• Ansari (1997): For every separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X, there is a hypercyclic operator T in B(X).

# Existence of Hypercyclic Operators

• Ansari (1997): For every separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X, there is a hypercyclic operator T in B(X).

Definition. A vector  $x \in X$  is said to be a <u>periodic point</u> of an operator T in B(X) if there is a positive integer n such that  $T^n x = x$ .

Definition. An operator on X is said to be <u>chaotic</u> if and only if it is hypercyclic and has a dense set of periodic points.

# Existence of Hypercyclic Operators

• Ansari (1997): For every separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X, there is a hypercyclic operator T in B(X).

Definition. A vector  $x \in X$  is said to be a <u>periodic point</u> of an operator T in B(X) if there is a positive integer n such that  $T^nx = x$ .

Definition. An operator on X is said to be <u>chaotic</u> if and only if it is hypercyclic and has a dense set of periodic points.

 Bonet & Martínez-Giménez & Peris (2001): There is a separable, infinite dimensional Banach space which admits no chaotic operator.

## A Zero-One Law for Chaotic Operators

SOT = Strong Operator Topology of the operator algebra B(X).

• (2002): For a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space H, the hypercyclic operators on H are SOT-dense in B(H).

# A Zero-One Law for Chaotic Operators

SOT = Strong Operator Topology of the operator algebra B(X).

- (2002): For a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space H, the hypercyclic operators on H are SOT-dense in B(H).
- with Bès (2003): The set of chaotic operators on a separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X is either empty or SOT-dense in B(X).

# A Zero-One Law for Chaotic Operators

SOT = Strong Operator Topology of the operator algebra B(X).

- (2002): For a separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space H, the hypercyclic operators on H are SOT-dense in B(H).
- with Bès (2003): The set of chaotic operators on a separable, infinite dimensional Banach space X is either empty or SOT-dense in B(X).

Indeed, if  $T \in B(X)$  is hypercyclic, then its conjugate class, or similarity orbit,  $\{A^{-1}TA : A \text{ invertible on } X\}$  is SOT-dense in B(X).

### A Double Density Theorem

Let H be separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

• with Sanders (2011): There is a path of chaotic operators in B(H) that is SOT-dense in B(H), and each operator on the path shares the exact same set  $\mathcal{G}$  of common hypercyclic vectors.

### A Double Density Theorem

Let H be separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- with Sanders (2011): There is a path of chaotic operators in B(H) that is SOT-dense in B(H), and each operator on the path shares the exact same set  $\mathcal{G}$  of common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The path can be taken so that each operator along the path satisfies the hypercyclicity criterion.

## A Double Density Theorem

Let H be separable, infinite dimensional Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- with Sanders (2011): There is a path of chaotic operators in B(H) that is SOT-dense in B(H), and each operator on the path shares the exact same set  $\mathcal{G}$  of common hypercyclic vectors.
- Corollary: The path can be taken so that each operator along the path satisfies the hypercyclicity criterion.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic operators in B(H) are SOT-connected.
- Corollary: The hypercyclic operators T in B(H) with  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{HC}(T)$  are SOT-connected.



For an operator  $T: X \to X$  on a Banach space X, we let  $S(T) = \{A^{-1}TA \mid A \text{ invertible}\}\$  be the similarity orbit of T.

For an operator  $T: X \to X$  on a Banach space X, we let  $S(T) = \{A^{-1}TA \mid A \text{ invertible}\}\$  be the similarity orbit of T.

• with Sanders (2011): S(T) contains a path of operators which is SOT-dense in B(X) and for which the set of common hypercyclic vectors for the whole path is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

For an operator  $T: X \to X$  on a Banach space X, we let  $S(T) = \{A^{-1}TA \mid A \text{ invertible}\}\$  be the similarity orbit of T.

• with Sanders (2011): S(T) contains a path of operators which is SOT-dense in B(X) and for which the set of common hypercyclic vectors for the whole path is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

Observations of some zero-one phenomenon:

For an operator  $T: X \to X$  on a Banach space X, we let  $S(T) = \{A^{-1}TA \mid A \text{ invertible}\}\$  be the similarity orbit of T.

• with Sanders (2011): S(T) contains a path of operators which is SOT-dense in B(X) and for which the set of common hypercyclic vectors for the whole path is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

Observations of some zero-one phenomenon:

- (1) If  $\mathcal{HC}(T) = X \setminus \{0\}$ , the set of common hypercyclic vectors for S(T) is also  $X \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (2) If  $\mathcal{HC}(T) \neq X \setminus \{0\}$ , the set of common hypercyclic vectors for  $\mathcal{S}(T)$  is empty.



For an operator T on a Hilbert space H, we let  $\mathcal{U}(T) = \{U^{-1}TU : U \text{ unitary}\}$ , the unitary orbit of T.

For an operator T on a Hilbert space H, we let  $\mathcal{U}(T) = \{U^{-1}TU : U \text{ unitary}\}$ , the unitary orbit of T.

{unitary operators on H} path connected  $\implies \mathcal{U}(T)$  path connected.

For an operator T on a Hilbert space H, we let  $\mathcal{U}(T) = \{U^{-1}TU : U \text{ unitary}\}$ , the unitary orbit of T.

{unitary operators on H} path connected  $\implies \mathcal{U}(T)$  path connected.

Every operator in  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  has the same norm as T. So  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  does not contain a path that is SOT-dense in B(H).

For an operator T on a Hilbert space H, we let  $\mathcal{U}(T) = \{U^{-1}TU : U \text{ unitary}\}$ , the unitary orbit of T.

{unitary operators on H} path connected  $\implies \mathcal{U}(T)$  path connected.

Every operator in  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  has the same norm as T. So  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  does not contain a path that is SOT-dense in B(H).

• with Sanders (2012): If  $T \in B(H)$  be hypercyclic, then  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  contains a path  $\mathcal{P}$  of operators so that  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{SOT}$  contains  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  and the common hypercyclic vectors for  $\mathcal{P}$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set.

• Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit orb(T, x) is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit orb(T, x) is everywhere dense.

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let  $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
- (A) T is hypercyclic.

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let  $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let  $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let  $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
- (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let  $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
- (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

Corollary: T is not hypercyclic iff every orb $(T,x) \cup \{0\}$  is closed.

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let  $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
- (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

Corollary: T is not hypercyclic iff every  $orb(T,x) \cup \{0\}$  is closed. Remark: (A), (B), (D) are equivalent for bilateral weighted shifts.

- Bourdon & Feldman (2003): If an orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is somewhere dense in a Banach space X then the orbit  $\operatorname{orb}(T, x)$  is everywhere dense.
- with Seceleanu (2012): Let  $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
- (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

Corollary: T is not hypercyclic iff every  $orb(T,x) \cup \{0\}$  is closed. Remark: (A), (B), (D) are equivalent for bilateral weighted shifts.

• with Sanders (2004): A unilateral weighted backward shift is hypercyclic if and only if it is weakly hypercyclic. But, there is a bilateral weighted shift that is weakly hypercyclic but not hypercyclic.

#### A Remark on Theorem

If orb(T,x) has a nonzero limit point, we can only conclude T is hypercyclic but we cannot conclude that x is a hypercyclic vector, and in fact not even a cyclic vector.

A vector x is a *cyclic vector* for T, if span orb(T, x) is dense in X.

#### A Remark on Theorem

If orb(T,x) has a nonzero limit point, we can only conclude T is hypercyclic but we cannot conclude that x is a hypercyclic vector, and in fact not even a cyclic vector.

A vector x is a <u>cyclic vector</u> for T, if span orb(T, x) is dense in X. Let  $(e_n)$  be the canonical basis of  $\ell^p$ .

- with Seceleanu (preprint, 2013): The vector x is a cyclic vector for T, if
  - (1) the weight  $(w_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of T is bounded below, and
  - (2) orb(T, x) has a nonzero limit point f given by  $f = a_0 e_o + \cdots + a_n e_n$  (finite sum) for some scalars  $a_j$ .



#### A Remark on Theorem

If orb(T,x) has a nonzero limit point, we can only conclude T is hypercyclic but we cannot conclude that x is a hypercyclic vector, and in fact not even a cyclic vector.

A vector x is a <u>cyclic vector</u> for T, if span orb(T, x) is dense in X. Let  $(e_n)$  be the canonical basis of  $\ell^p$ .

- with Seceleanu (preprint, 2013): The vector x is a cyclic vector for T, if
  - (1) the weight  $(w_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of T is bounded below, and
  - (2) orb(T, x) has a nonzero limit point f given by  $f = a_0 e_0 + \cdots + a_n e_n$  (finite sum) for some scalars  $a_j$ .

There are examples to show both (1) and (2) are needed for x to be a cyclic vector.



# Proof of "(B) $\Longrightarrow$ (A)"

Suppose there exist a vector  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, ...) \in \ell^p$  and a non-zero vector  $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, ...) \in \ell^p$  such that f is a limit point of the orbit Orb(T, x).

# Proof of "(B) $\Longrightarrow$ (A)"

Suppose there exist a vector  $x=(x_0,x_1,x_2,\ldots)\in \ell^p$  and a non-zero vector  $f=(f_0,f_1,f_2,\ldots)\in \ell^p$  such that f is a limit point of the orbit  $\mathrm{Orb}(T,x)$ .

Since  $f_j \neq 0$  for some  $j \geq 0$ , we assume without loss of generality that  $f_0 \neq 0$ . Hence there exist an increasing sequence  $\{n_k : k \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  and an integer N > 0 such that

$$||T^{n_k}x-f||<\frac{1}{2^k}<\frac{|f_0|}{2},$$

for all  $k \geq N$ . Then

$$T^{n_k}x = T^{n_k}(x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}, \ldots).$$

# Proof of "(B) $\Longrightarrow$ (A)"

Suppose there exist a vector  $x=(x_0,x_1,x_2,\ldots)\in \ell^p$  and a non-zero vector  $f=(f_0,f_1,f_2,\ldots)\in \ell^p$  such that f is a limit point of the orbit  $\mathrm{Orb}(T,x)$ .

Since  $f_j \neq 0$  for some  $j \geq 0$ , we assume without loss of generality that  $f_0 \neq 0$ . Hence there exist an increasing sequence  $\{n_k : k \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  and an integer N > 0 such that

$$||T^{n_k}x-f||<\frac{1}{2^k}<\frac{|f_0|}{2},$$

for all  $k \geq N$ . Then

$$T^{n_k}x = T^{n_k}(x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}, \ldots).$$

Hence  $||T^{n_k}x - f|| \ge |w_1 \cdots w_{n_k}x_{n_k} - f_0|$ . So there exists a sequence  $\{n_k : k \ge 1\}$  such that

$$|w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k} - f_0| < |f_0|/2,$$

for all k > N.



# "(B) $\Longrightarrow$ (A)" Completed

Thus  $|f_0|/2 < |w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}|$  and so  $\frac{|f_0|}{2(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})} < |x_{n_k}|$  for all  $k \ge N$ . Hence we get that

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p(w_1\cdots w_{n_k})^p}<|x_{n_k}|^p,$$
 for all  $k\geq N$  .

Now since  $x \in \ell^p$  we have

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})^p} \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |x_{n_k}|^p \leq ||x||^p < \infty.$$

# "(B) $\Longrightarrow$ (A)" Completed

Thus  $|f_0|/2 < |w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}|$  and so  $\frac{|f_0|}{2(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})} < |x_{n_k}|$  for all  $k \ge N$ . Hence we get that

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p(w_1\cdots w_{n_k})^p}<|x_{n_k}|^p,$$
 for all  $k\geq N$  .

Now since  $x \in \ell^p$  we have

$$\frac{|f_0|^{\rho}}{2^{\rho}}\sum_{k=N}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(w_1\cdots w_{n_k})^{\rho}}\leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty}|x_{n_k}|^{\rho}\leq ||x||^{\rho}<\infty.$$

It follows that  $\frac{1}{\left(w_1...w_{n_k}\right)^p} \to 0$ . That is, there exists an increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

# "(B) $\Longrightarrow$ (A)" Completed

Thus  $|f_0|/2 < |w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} x_{n_k}|$  and so  $\frac{|f_0|}{2(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})} < |x_{n_k}|$  for all  $k \ge N$ . Hence we get that

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p(w_1\cdots w_{n_k})^p}<|x_{n_k}|^p,$$
 for all  $k\geq N$  .

Now since  $x \in \ell^p$  we have

$$\frac{|f_0|^p}{2^p} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(w_1 \cdots w_{n_k})^p} \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |x_{n_k}|^p \leq ||x||^p < \infty.$$

It follows that  $\frac{1}{\left(w_1...w_{n_k}\right)^p} \to 0$ . That is, there exists an increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $w_1 \cdots w_{n_k} \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Thus by Salas' criterion for hypercyclicity of unilateral backward shifts that  $\sup\{w_1\cdots w_n:n\geq 1\}=\infty$ , we have that T is hypercyclic.  $\square$ 



#### Recall: A Zero-One Law for Orbital Limit Points

- with Seceleanu (2012): Let  $T: \ell^p \to \ell^p$  be a unilateral weighted backward shift. The following are equivalent:
- (A) T is hypercyclic.
- (B) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero limit point.
- (C) There is a vector whose orbit has a nonzero weak limit point.
- (D) There is a vector whose orbit has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

### Proof of "(C) $\Longrightarrow$ (B)"

Let  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, ...) \in \ell^p$  be a vector whose Orb(T, x) has  $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, ...) \in \ell^p$  as a non-zero <u>weak</u> limit point, with  $f_k \neq 0$ .

Considering the weakly open sets that contain f, we get that for all  $j\geq 1$  there exists an  $n_j\geq 1$  such that  $|\langle T^{n_j}x-f,e_k\rangle|<\frac{1}{j}$ .

That is  $\left|w_{k+1}\cdots w_{k+n_j}x_{k+n_j}-f_k\right|<\frac{1}{j}$ , for all  $j\geq 1$ .

### Proof of "(C) $\Longrightarrow$ (B)"

Let  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, ...) \in \ell^p$  be a vector whose  $\mathrm{Orb}(T, x)$  has  $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2, ...) \in \ell^p$  as a non-zero weak limit point, with  $f_k \neq 0$ .

Considering the weakly open sets that contain f, we get that for all  $j \geq 1$  there exists an  $n_j \geq 1$  such that  $|\langle T^{n_j} x - f, e_k \rangle| < \frac{1}{j}$ .

That is  $|w_{k+1}\cdots w_{k+n_j}x_{k+n_j}-f_k|<\frac{1}{j}$ , for all  $j\geq 1$ .

Next, we inductively pick a subsequence  $\{n_{j_k}\}$  of  $\{n_j\}$  as follows:

- 1. Let  $j_1 = 1$ .
- 2. Once we have chosen  $j_m$  we pick  $j_{m+1} > j_m$  such that

$$k + n_{j_m} < n_{j_{m+1}} \text{ and } \sum_{i=j_{m+1}}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_i}|^p \le \frac{1}{j_m \cdot \|T\|^{p \cdot n_{j_m}}}.$$

Thus we can assume, by taking a subsequence if necessary, that

$$\{n_j\}$$
 satisfies  $k + n_j < n_{j+1}$  and  $\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_i}|^p \le \frac{1}{j \cdot \|T\|^{p \cdot n_j}}$ .



## "(C) $\Longrightarrow$ (B)" Continued

Let 
$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot e_{k+n_i}$$
. Clearly  $y$  is in  $\ell^p$ , because  $x$  is.

Then 
$$T^{n_m}y=\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_{k+n_i}\cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$$
. But  $k+n_i< n_{i+1}$  for all  $i\geq 1$  and so  $k+n_i< n_m$  for all  $i< m$ . Thus since  $T$  is a unilateral backward shift we conclude that  $T^{n_m}y=\sum_{i=1}^\infty x_{k+n_i}\cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$ .

# "(C) $\Longrightarrow$ (B)" Continued

Let 
$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot e_{k+n_i}$$
. Clearly  $y$  is in  $\ell^p$ , because  $x$  is.

Then  $T^{n_m}y=\sum_{i=1}x_{k+n_i}\cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$ . But  $k+n_i< n_{i+1}$  for all  $i\geq 1$  and so  $k+n_i< n_m$  for all i< m. Thus since T is a unilateral backward shift we conclude that  $T^{n_m}y=\sum_{i=m}^{\infty}x_{k+n_i}\cdot T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$ . Furthermore, since the vectors  $T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}$  and  $T^{n_m}e_{k+n_j}$  have disjoint support for  $i\neq j$ , that is  $\widehat{T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}}(s)=0$  whenever  $\widehat{T^{n_m}e_{k+n_i}}(s)\neq 0$ , we have that

$$||T^{n_m}y - f_k e_k|| \le ||(w_{k+1} \cdots w_{k+n_m} x_{k+n_m} - f_k) \cdot e_k|| + ||\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} x_{k+n_i} \cdot T^{n_m} e_{k+n_i}||$$

## "(C) $\Longrightarrow$ (B)" Completed

Thus,

$$||T^{n_{m}}y - f_{k}e_{k}||$$

$$\leq |w_{k+1} \cdots w_{k+n_{m}}x_{k+n_{m}} - f_{k}| + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_{i}}|^{p} \cdot ||T^{n_{m}}e_{k+n_{i}}||^{p}\right]^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m} + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} |x_{k+n_{i}}|^{p} \cdot ||T||^{p \cdot n_{m}}\right]^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{m}} \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

"(C) 
$$\Longrightarrow$$
 (B)" Completed

Thus,

$$\begin{split} & \|T^{n_{m}}y - f_{k}e_{k}\| \\ & \leq |w_{k+1}\cdots w_{k+n_{m}}x_{k+n_{m}} - f_{k}| + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty}|x_{k+n_{i}}|^{p}\cdot\|T^{n_{m}}e_{k+n_{i}}\|^{p}\right]^{1/p} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} + \left[\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty}|x_{k+n_{i}}|^{p}\cdot\|T\|^{p\cdot n_{m}}\right]^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{m}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } m \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Thus  $T^{n_m}y \to f_k e_k$  in norm as  $m \to \infty$ , where  $f_k e_k \neq 0$  in  $\ell^p$ , and hence  $\operatorname{Orb}(T, y)$  has a non-zero limit point.  $\square$ 

#### Bergman Spaces

Let  $\Omega$  be a region in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H^{\infty}(\Omega)$  be the algebra of all bounded analytic functions on  $\Omega$ .

Let  $A^2(\Omega)=\{f:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}\,|\,f \text{ analytic, and }\int_\Omega|f|^2\,dA<\infty\}$  be the Bergman space.

If  $\varphi \in H^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , then we define  $M_{\varphi}: A^2(\Omega) \to A^2(\Omega)$  by  $M_{\varphi}f = \varphi f$ .

#### Bergman Spaces

Let  $\Omega$  be a region in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H^{\infty}(\Omega)$  be the algebra of all bounded analytic functions on  $\Omega$ .

Let  $A^2(\Omega)=\{f:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}\,|\,f\text{ analytic, and }\int_\Omega|f|^2\,dA<\infty\}$  be the Bergman space.

If  $\varphi \in H^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , then we define  $M_{\varphi} : A^{2}(\Omega) \to A^{2}(\Omega)$  by  $M_{\varphi}f = \varphi f$ .

• Godefroy & Shapiro (1991): The adjoint operator  $M_{\varphi}^*: A^2(\Omega) \to A^2(\Omega)$  is hypercyclic if and only if  $\varphi(\Omega)$  intersects the unit circle.

### A Zero-One Law for Adjoint Multiplication Operators

Let  $\varphi \in H^{\infty}(\Omega)$  be a nonconstant function, and  $M_{\varphi}: A^{2}(\Omega) \to A^{2}(\Omega)$ .

- with Seceleanu (2012): The following are equivalent.
- (A)  $M_{\varphi}^*$  is hypercyclic.

### A Zero-One Law for Adjoint Multiplication Operators

Let  $\varphi \in H^{\infty}(\Omega)$  be a nonconstant function, and  $M_{\varphi}: A^{2}(\Omega) \to A^{2}(\Omega)$ .

- with Seceleanu (2012): The following are equivalent.
- (A)  $M_{\varphi}^*$  is hypercyclic.
- (B)  $M_{\varphi}^*$  has an orbit with a nonzero limit point.

### A Zero-One Law for Adjoint Multiplication Operators

Let  $\varphi \in H^{\infty}(\Omega)$  be a nonconstant function, and  $M_{\varphi}: A^{2}(\Omega) \to A^{2}(\Omega)$ .

- with Seceleanu (2012): The following are equivalent.
- (A)  $M_{\varphi}^*$  is hypercyclic.
- (B)  $M_{\varphi}^*$  has an orbit with a nonzero limit point.
- (C)  $M_{\varphi}^*$  has an orbit which has infinitely many members contained in an open ball whose closure avoids the origin.

#### What about the Hardy Space?

Let  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{D}}$  be the open unit disk, and let

$$H^2 = \left\{ f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D} \, | \, f(z) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ analytic and } \sum_{0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$
 be the Hardy space.

 with Seceleanu (2012): The result for the Bergman space holds true for the Hardy space.

Let  $\varphi:\mathbb{D}\to\mathbb{D}$  be an analytic map.

Define  $C_{\varphi}: H^2 \to H^2$  by  $C_{\varphi}f = f \circ \varphi$ .



#### What about the Hardy Space?

Let  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{D}}$  be the open unit disk, and let

$$H^2 = \left\{ f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D} \, | \, f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ analytic and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty \right\}$$
 be the Hardy space.

 with Seceleanu (2012): The result for the Bergman space holds true for the Hardy space.

Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$  be an analytic map.

Define 
$$C_{\varphi}: H^2 \to H^2$$
 by  $C_{\varphi}f = f \circ \varphi$ .

• with Seceleanu (2012): If  $\alpha>0$  is an irrational number, and  $\varphi(z)=e^{2\pi i\alpha}z$ , then  $C_{\varphi}$  has an orbit with the identity function  $\psi(z)\equiv z$  as a nonzero limit point, but  $C_{\varphi}$  is not hypercyclic.

